

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,621	08/26/2003	Frederick J. Diggle	BS030116 (03-BS019)	4360
7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
Scott P. Zimmerman			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
P.O. Box 3822 Cary, NC 27519			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cary, 110 27515			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
$\sim$	Office Antique Comments	10/648,621	DIGGLE ET AL.	`
<b>\</b>	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN WO DATE COL	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	
- Period for	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status				
2a)☐ 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $18  \text{No}$ . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  Ia) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10)□ T , ,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	2)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Is the "first clasp" the same as the clasp recited in claim 1? If so then claims 4 and 5 may be double patenting.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Groover. Groover shows a single strap 10 having ends 24,23 secured to waist belt 1 through clasp 18 which is slidable along the strap 10.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover in view of either Barnes or Chen et al. Groover shows the claimed

Art Unit: 3634

harness with the exception of the groin protector. Both Barnes shows a groin protector 10 with slots 24,42 for receiving a strap. Chen in fig. 4 shows a groin protector 4 secured to a leg strap 26 which can be slidingly secured to his leg strap by eyelet 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the harness Claims 2,3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover in of Groover with a groin protector, as taught by either Barnes or Chen, for protecting the groin of a user.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover in view of Barnes. Groover shows the claimed harness with the exception of the strap comprising an elastic cordage section. Barnes shows a strap comprising an elastic cordage section. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the harness of Groover to comprise a an elastic cordage section, as taught by Barnes, to aid in the comfort of a user when used with a groin protector.

Claims 1,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover in view of Wachtel. Groover shows the claimed harness with the exception of the first and second clasp. Wachtel shows a first and second clasp 20,21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3634

invention was made to provide the harness of Groover with a first and second clasp, as taught by Wachtel, for securing his leg loops to his waist strap.

Page 4

Claims 2,3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover and Wachtel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Barnes or Chen et al as applied above.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groover and Wachtel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barnes as applied above.

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in Paper No. 11/18/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would be no burden to examine both invention as the search for one invention would be the same for both and furthermore, applicant had submitted prior art. This is not found persuasive because searching alone does not constitute the entire process of prosecution of a case.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Erwing, Rose, and Kirckovich showing harness being made of cordage.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,621 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner Art Unit 3634